

A Non-Destructive Synchrotron X-ray Study of the Metallurgy and Manufacturing  
Processes of Eastern and Western Astrolabes in the Adler Planetarium Collection

By

Brian Dale Newbury

Presented to the Graduate and Research Committee  
of Lehigh University  
in Candidacy for the Degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy

in

Materials Science and Engineering

Lehigh University  
December 2004

Approved and recommended for acceptance as a dissertation in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

---

Date

---

Accepted Date

Committee Members

---

Michael Notis, Dissertation Advisor

---

G. Slade Cargill, III, Dissertation Co-Advisor

---

Bruce Stephenson, Committee Member

---

G. Brian Stephenson, Committee Member

---

John Smith, Committee Member

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank those for with whom this work would not have been possible. The Adler Planetarium and History of Astronomy Museum in Chicago, IL, provided generous travel funding throughout my research for astrolabe study at the APS synchrotron and also for conference attendance to present my work as it evolved throughout the duration. Research funding and stipend were provided by the DOE Basic Energy Sciences Office and Argonne National Laboratory.

My advisors Dr. Michael Notis and Dr. G Slade Cargill, III have been invaluable to me throughout this study. Both have been quick with help and advice along the way. Dr. G. Brian Stephenson, Dr. Bruce Stephenson, and Dr. John Smith, my remaining committee members, have had valuable input as well. Dr. G. Brian Stephenson has helped me greatly with designing and troubleshooting experimental setups at the synchrotron. Dr. Bruce Stephenson has helped to teach me all things astrolabe and I want to especially thank him for the incredibly long hours he has spent with me during the synchrotron sessions. Many 18 hour days have been spent collecting data and talking about the results and instruments themselves. Dr. John Smith has helped me to stop thinking like an engineer (even if I still write like one) and see technology from a historical perspective. Dr. Dean Haeffner at Argonne National Laboratories has always come through with crucial blocks of beam time at the APS synchrotron, and Dr. Jon Almer and Dr. Ulrich Lienert have helped me through experimental crises at all hours of the day and night on the beam line.

I would like to acknowledge the kindness of Mr. Leonard Linton, who gave me unlimited access to his wonderful collection of astrolabes and astrolabe related texts.

I also must thank the staff and fellow graduate students at Lehigh University. Arlan Bencoter, Dave Ackland, and Mike Rex have always helped me out with my various metallurgical, microscopic (in technique, not in scale), and fabrication hurdles along the way. I thank Maxine Mattie for keeping me legal in my paperwork with the Dean's Office. My fellow graduate students past and present: Alex Bandar, Steve Claves, Frank "The Panther" Gift, Chad Kusko, Steve Banovic, and Jesse Nawrocki. The Whitaker cycling crew: Nick Barbosa, Dan Lewis, Paul El-Diery, and Aaron Shugar for the much needed bike rides, adventures, and broken bike parts that I've used to teach undergrads failure analysis techniques. I must also thank William VanGeertruyden for the years of being a housemate and explaining fiber texture to me. Last but not least, Mario Epler, a consummate radio DJ and great friend.

My parents Dale and Barbara Newbury have supported me my whole life with love and encouragement in everything I've done; this work certainly would not have been possible without them. My sister Jenny and brother-in-law Steve for the moral support that can only come from living through the oral exams and graduate school low points. And finally to my fiancée Melissa Lim, I promise I'm done with school and the long hours associated with it!

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Certificate of Approval	ii
Acknowledgements	iii
List of Tables	viii
List of Figures	x
ABSTRACT	1
1.0 Introduction	3
2.0 Literature Review	6
2.1 The Astrolabe as an Instrument	6
2.1.1 History and Development of the Astrolabe	9
2.1.1.1 The Stereographic Projection	9
2.1.1.2 Astrolabe Origins and Precursor Instruments	11
2.1.2 Astrolabe Components and Construction	17
2.1.3 The Astrolabe's Many Uses	21
2.1.3.1 Surveying	21
2.1.3.2 Telling Time With The Astrolabe	26
2.1.3.3 Astrological Use of Astrolabes	30
2.1.3.4 Determining the Direction to Mecca and Prayer Times	31
2.1.4 Previous Studies of Astrolabes	32
2.2 Brass Technology throughout the Astrolabe World	35
2.2.1 General Overview of Brass and Its Origins	35
2.2.2 Copper Refining	38
2.2.2.1 Copper Refining in Europe and Germany	38
2.2.2.1.1 Copper Production in England	42
2.2.2.1.2 Copper Production in Sweden	43
2.2.2.1.3 Copper Trade Throughout Europe	43
2.2.2.2 Copper Production in Eastern Lands	44
2.2.2.2.1 Copper Production in India	44
2.2.2.2.2 Copper Production in Other Islamic Lands	45
2.2.3 Brass Production	46
2.2.3.1 The Cementation Process	48
2.2.3.2 European Historical Brass Making Accounts	52
2.2.3.2.1 Theophilus' Account of Brass Making	52
2.2.3.2.2 Vannoccio Biringuccio's <i>Pirotechnia</i>	54

2.2.3.2.3	Lazarus Ercker’s Account of Brass Making	56
2.2.3.2.4	Comparison of Roman and Medieval Brass Making	59
2.2.3.3	Brass making Throughout the Eastern World	61
2.2.3.3.1	Zinc Oxide Production According to Al-Biruni	62
2.2.3.3.2	Brass Making According to al-Kāshānī	64
2.2.3.4	Chinese Brass Production	66
2.2.4	Production of Metallic Zinc	68
2.2.4.1	Metallic Zinc Production in India	69
2.2.4.2	Chinese Metallic Zinc Production	76
2.2.4.3	European Metallic Zinc Production	78
2.3	Metalworking Techniques	81
2.3.1	Casting Techniques	82
2.3.2	Deformation Techniques	85
2.3.3	Finishing Processes	89
2.3.4	Metalworkers in Islamic Culture as Compared to European Culture	93
3.0	Purpose of Investigation	99
4.0	Experimental Procedure	102
4.1	Diffraction studies	102
4.1.1	Phase Identification	107
4.1.1.1	Phase Identification Experiments	109
4.1.2	Determination of Composition by Vegard’s Law	114
4.1.2.1	Vegard’s Law Analysis Experiments	116
4.2	X-ray Fluorescence Experiments	118
4.3	Radiography Experimental Technique	127
4.4	Other techniques	130
4.4.1	Bulk Texture Analysis by Synchrotron Diffraction	133
4.4.2	EBSD Analysis	137
4.4.3	Optical Profilometry Experiments	139
4.5	Brass Reproduction	141
4.5.1	Cementation Process	141
4.5.1.1	Historical Cementation Processes	141
4.5.1.2	High Zinc Cementation Experiments	143
4.5.2	Direct Co-melting Experiments	144
5.0	Results and Discussion	146
5.1	Brass Production Experiments	149
5.2	Results Classified by Astrolabe Component Type	153
5.2.1	The Astrolabe Mater	153

5.2.2	The Astrolabe Rete	157
5.2.3	The Astrolabe Tympan	163
5.2.4	The Astrolabe Alidade and Rule	171
5.2.5	The Astrolabe Small Parts	176
5.2.6	Discussion of Results as Classified by Component	180
5.3	Astrolabe Production Centers and Individual Makers	189
5.3.1	Astrolabes Manufactured in Lahore, Modern Day Pakistan	189
5.3.2	Astrolabes Manufactured in Iran	197
5.3.3	Astrolabes Manufactured in Louvain, Belgium	205
5.3.4	Astrolabes Manufactured in North Africa and Moorish Spain	210
5.3.5	Astrolabes Manufactured in Europe	215
5.4	Radiography Results and Discussion	221
5.5	Optical Profilometry Results and Discussion	229
5.6	Texture Studies of Astrolabe Components	236
5.7	Discussion of Significant Trends	245
5.7.1	Modern Replacements	245
5.7.2	Metalworkers and Technology	247
5.7.2.1	Astrolabe Construction	250
5.7.3	Trace Element Analysis	254
6.0	Conclusions	257
7.0	Future Work	259
8.0	References	261
9.0	Vita	276

## LIST OF TABLES

- Table 2.1 – Content of Early Bronze Age Brass Objects
- Table 2.2 – Content of Northern European and British Brass Objects
- Table 2.3 – Content of Greek Artifacts from Black Sea Region
- Table 2.4 – Content of Roman Orichalcum Coins
- Table 4.1 – Common X-ray Tube Targets and Their Generated X-ray Energy ( $K_{\alpha}$  spectral line)
- Table 4.2 – Allowable Diffracting Planes for Cubic Structures
- Table 4.3 – Brass Lattice Parameters and Compositions
- Table 4.4 – XRF Experimental Geometry for Experimental Sessions
- Table 4.5 – Compositions of Zn Standards
- Table 4.6 – Zn Composition Error for Astrolabes Studied
- Table 4.7 – Ingredients Used in Cementation Brass Reproduction Experiments
- Table 4.8 – Historical Cementation Brass Reproduction Experimental Conditions
- Table 4.9 – Experimental Conditions for Replication of Zwicker’s High-Zinc Cementation Brass Production Process
- Table 4.10 – Direct Co-melting Brass Production Experimental Conditions
- Table 5.1 – Astrolabes Examined in This Study
- Table 5.2 – XRF Compositions (wt.%) of Astrolabe Maters
- Table 5.3 – Astrolabe Rete Compositions (wt.%) by XRF
- Table 5.4 XRF Compositions (wt.%) of Astrolabe Tympan
- Table 5.5 – XRF Compositions (wt.%) for Alidade and Rules
- Table 5.6 – Average XRF Compositions (wt.%) for Eastern and Western Alidades and Rules

- Table 5.7 – XRF Compositions (wt.%) of Astrolabe Small Parts
- Table 5.8 – A List of All Astrolabes Studied With Evidence for  $\alpha + \beta'$  Brass Usage
- Table 5.9 – Astrolabes Manufacture in Lahore
- Table 5.10 - XRF Compositions (wt.%) of Lahore Astrolabes
- Table 5.11 – Studied Astrolabes from Iran
- Table 5.12 – XRF Compositions (wt.%) for the Iranian Astrolabes
- Table 5.13 – Astrolabes Examined from Louvain Belgium
- Table 5.14 – XRF Compositions (wt.%) of Belgian Astrolabes Studied
- Table 5.15 – Astrolabes Studied Originating in North Africa and Spain
- Table 5.16 – XRF Compositions (wt.%) for Astrolabes Manufactured in North Africa and Spain
- Table 5.17 –Remaining European Astrolabes Examined in This Study
- Table 5.18 – XRF Compositions (wt.%) for European Astrolabes of Varied Location
- Table 5.19 – Astrolabe Components with Evidence for Microstructure Texture
- Table 5.20 – Astrolabes and Components Thought to Be Modern Reproductions

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1 – A Johanne Fusoris astrolabe manufactured in 1400 A.D. The rete, containing pointers for the locations of well known stars, rotates over the tympan to model the movement of the sky.

Figure 2.2 – a) Schematic of the linear astrolabe construction, which was only used at one latitude. b) The only existing spherical astrolabe, signed “Work of Musa Year A.H. 885.” c) Mariner’s astrolabe, constructed with cutouts to reduce wind cross-section and cast thick to increase weight.

Figure 2.3 – The celestial sphere and constructing its stereographic projection from the Greek perspective. It is shown that stars in the northern hemisphere fall within the equator of the celestial sphere, while southern hemisphere stars fall outside the equator.

Figure 2.4 – Portable sundial astrolabe precursor built using the stereographic projection (top right component) and four separate sundial plates for use at different latitudes. The instrument is housed inside a box medal of Antoninus Pius and is 39 mm in diameter and 14 mm thick.

Figure 2.5 – Schematic of an astrolabe.

Figure 2.6 – Construction of stereographic projection on plate of astrolabe.

Figure 2.7 – Use of astrolabes to measure distance to an inaccessible place.

Figure 2.8 – Use of astrolabe to measure the height of a tower.

Figure 2.9 – Use of astrolabe to measure depth of a well.

Figure 2.10 – The use of astrolabes in mapping locations of a) trees, b) statues.

Figure 2.11 – a) Using the alidade to measure the sun properly, b) measuring the altitude in degrees of the sun ( $42^{\circ}$ ). c) Setting the rete so that the sun’s position on the ecliptic ( $13^{\circ}$  Taurus, determined from tables) corresponds to the  $42^{\circ}$  almucantar, d) the same process but aligning a star location instead of sun. e) Determining time: upper) aligning rule with the sun’s position ( $13^{\circ}$  Taurus), middle) rule intersection of ecliptic (opposite of sun’s position) and unequal hour line to give unequal hour time of 3:40, lower) intersection of rule with equal hour scale to give equal hour time of 9:01.

Figure 2.12 – Astrologer (upper right) consulting astrolabe at time of birth circa 1236/7.

Figure 2.13 – Illustration of copper smelting operation using continuous smelting furnace.

Figure 2.14 – Cu-Zn binary phase diagram.

Figure 2.15 – Schematic of German brass production furnace for cementation process.

Figure 2.16 – Schematic of crucible used in field production of brass for Roman army in British Isles.

Figure 2.17 – Plot of zinc content versus process temperature from Haedecke work.

Figure 2.18 – Furnace design and crucible location of Theophilus' cementation furnace.

Figure 2.19– Generation of (right side) and recovery of (left side) calamine from lead smelting furnaces in Germany.

Figure 2.20 – Tools of brass making according to Ercker. Illustration G are the British Stones for casting brass to be hammered into sheet.

Figure 2.21 – Two excavated zinc producing furnaces at Zawar. Note large number of retort crucibles for mass production.

Figure 2.22 – Schematic of crucible used for metallic zinc production at Zawar.

Figure 2.23 – Schematic of filled furnace illustrating lower level for collection of metallic zinc.

Figure 2.24 – a) Illustration of Chinese zinc smelting process, b) schematic of Chinese zinc smelting crucible.

Figure 2.25 – Schematic drawing of Champion zinc smelting furnace, illustrating similar principles to those in use at Zawar, but much larger scale.

Figure 2.26 – A stereo-pair three dimensional representation of a group of Islamic quaternary brass alloys. Crosses represent cast objects, squares represent turned or spun pieces, and circles are sheet brass objects.

Figure 2.27 - Radiographic image of a cast pen box. Dark spotty regions represent gas porosity in the casting. Arrow indicates location of a casting “chill.”

Figure 2.28 – Serving tray made of hammered sheet and decorated with engraving and inlay. Note dark sections of inlay that indicate inlay metal detachment.

Figure 2.29 – Plate formed by turning. The outer lip and shape were shaped by removing metal on a lathe.

Figure 2.30 – Sphere formed from two spun halves.

Figure 2.31 – Parallel punching marks used to accentuate the main floral design on a pitcher.

Figure 2.32 – Chasing work to provide a mottled appearance between a floral design on a pitcher.

Figure 2.33 – Close up of inlay of silver on brass. It is seen on the right where the silver inlay has broken, revealing the base metal below. This is an example of the non-undercutting technique of inlay as evidenced by the two “dotted” lines at the edge of the inlay into which the silver was deformed (indicated by arrows).

Figure 4.1 – Schematic of X-ray tube illustrating tungsten electron gun, X-ray target, and generated characteristic X-rays.

Figure 4.2 – Schematic of characteristic X-ray  $K_{\alpha}$  generation in X-ray tube.

Figure 4.3 – X-ray penetration distance as a function of X-ray energy for a 30 wt.% Zn  $\alpha$  brass. The energies for Cr, Zn, and Mo tube sources as well as the synchrotron energies used in this study are labeled.

Figure 4.4 – Schematic of X-ray diffraction.

Figure 4.5 – A) Schematic of diffraction experimental setup. Beam stop positioned far enough away from sample so that it would not interfere with the innermost diffraction ring. Beam to sample distance was measured for each experiment utilizing a calibration standard ( $\text{CeO}_2$ ). B) Picture of experimental setup at APS illustrating beam stop and 2D detector position, sample position is out of frame.

Figure 4.6 – A) Raw diffraction pattern as recorded from the 2D area detector. B) The processed diffraction pattern in standard intensity vs. d-spacing form illustrating metallic lead and  $\alpha$  brass phases present in astrolabe M-23's mater.

Figure 4.7 – Graph of zinc wt.% vs. 111 plane d-spacing in the  $\alpha$  brass standards (dotted line) given in Table 4.2 and illustrating fit equation (solid line) used to determine Zn composition from XRD results in this study.

Figure 4.8 – Characteristic X-ray generation schematic.

Figure 4.9 – Raw XRF spectra with peaks labeled for astrolabe M-23 Mater.

Figure 4.10 – Picture of XRF detector (left) in backward scattering geometry. Beam emerges from box at right. Lens in center is for a closed circuit TV to view experiment.

Figure 4.11 – Illustration of raw XRF spectra (yellow) with overlaid fit (green).

Figure 4.12 – Zn fit line used for calculation of unknown Zn compositions.

Figure 4.13 - Schematic of fluorescence experiment geometry defining angles listed in Table 4.4.

Figure 4.14 - X-ray radiograph taken by William Roentgen of Albert von Kolliker's hand during Roentgen's presentation at the Wurzburg Physical-Medical Society on January 23<sup>rd</sup>, 1896 clearly showing the bone structure and metallic rings.

Figure 4.15 - a) 24° and b) 20° faces of the Lehigh tympan, 130 mm in diameter.

Figure 4.16 – a) diffraction pattern illustrating texture present in the (111), (200), and (220) planes of a brass sample, b) diffraction pattern of a different brass sample illustrating even intensity in ring patterns indicative of a random orientation.

Figure 4.17 – [100] pole figure for rolled brass sheet.

Figure 4.18 – ODF representation of cold rolled brass.

Figure 4.19 – a) sample EBSD pattern, b) schematic of EBSD pattern collection inside the SEM.

Figure 4.20 – Schematic of optical profilometry process.

Figure 4.21 – Schematic of crucible charge for cementation experiments.

Figure 5.1 – The Cu-Zn equilibrium phase diagram.

Figure 5.2 – Microstructure of 1 hr Zwicker reproduction brass illustrating  $\beta'$  brass undergoing surface zinc loss. Ferric chloride etch (60 mL water, 60 mL ethanol, 30 mL HCl, 10 g FeCl<sub>3</sub>).

Figure 5.3 – Microstructure of 1 hr Zwicker reproduction brass illustrating surface layer of  $\alpha$  brass and penetrating  $\alpha$  brass needles. Ferric chloride etch (60 mL water, 60 mL ethanol, 30 mL HCl, 10 g FeCl<sub>3</sub>).

Figure 5.4 – Frequency distribution of XRF Zn compositions for astrolabe maters. The Zn composition only exceeds 25 wt% in four cases. Phase regions by Zn wt. % of the equilibrium phase diagram for  $\alpha$ ,  $\alpha + \beta'$ , and  $\beta'$  are indicated.

Figure 5.5 - Frequency distribution of XRD Zn compositions as calculated by Vegard's Law for astrolabe maters. Phase regions by Zn wt. % of the equilibrium phase diagram for  $\alpha$ ,  $\alpha + \beta'$ , and  $\beta'$  are indicated.

Figure 5.6 – Intensity vs. d-spacing graph for astrolabe A-78 rete illustrating presence of  $\alpha$  brass,  $\beta'$  brass, and Pb phases in bulk microstructure.

Figure 5.7 – Frequency distribution of Zn compositions by XRF illustrating rough bimodal distribution. Phase regions by Zn wt. % of the equilibrium phase diagram for  $\alpha$ ,  $\alpha + \beta'$ , and  $\beta'$  are indicated.

Figure 5.8 - Frequency distribution of rete Zn compositions by XRD and Vegard's Law illustrating rough bimodal distribution. Phase regions by Zn wt. % of the equilibrium phase diagram for  $\alpha$ ,  $\alpha + \beta'$ , and  $\beta'$  are indicated.

Figure 5.9 – XRF spectra for astrolabe A-111 rete illustrating presence of Cu, Au, Pb, and In.

Figure 5.10 – Frequency distribution of XRF Zn composition data for all astrolabe tympan. Phase regions by Zn wt. % of the equilibrium phase diagram for  $\alpha$ ,  $\alpha + \beta'$ , and  $\beta'$  are indicated.

Figure 5.11 – Representative intensity vs. d-spacing graph from XRD analysis from a) tympan with XRF compositions  $> 33$  wt.% and  $\beta'$  brass in the microstructure, b) tympan with XRF compositions  $< 33$  wt.% and  $\beta'$  brass in the microstructure, and c) tympan with XRF compositions  $< 33$  wt.% and  $\alpha$  brass in the microstructure.

Figure 5.12 – Frequency distribution of astrolabe tympan  $\alpha$  brass phase Zn compositions as calculated by XRD and Vegard's Law.

Figure 5.13 – Frequency distribution of XRF Zn wt.% for astrolabe alidades and rules. Phase regions by Zn wt. % of the equilibrium phase diagram for  $\alpha$ ,  $\alpha + \beta'$ , and  $\beta'$  are indicated.

Figure 5.14 – Frequency distribution of astrolabe alidade and rule  $\alpha$  brass phase Zn compositions as calculated from XRD and Vegard's Law.

Figure 5.15 – Frequency distribution of XRF Zn wt. % for astrolabe small parts. Phase regions by Zn wt. % of the equilibrium phase diagram for  $\alpha$ ,  $\alpha + \beta'$ , and  $\beta'$  are indicated.

Figure 5.16 – Frequency distribution of astrolabe small parts  $\alpha$  brass phase Zn composition as determined by XRD and Vegard's law.

Figure 5.17 – Cu-Zn phase diagram with shaded regions indicating compositions and temperatures for hot working, cold working, annealing, and recrystallization for common brass alloys.

Figure 5.18 – Optical microstructure of the Lehigh tympan illustrating the presence of  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta'$ , and Pb phases. The green rectangle represents the interaction volume of the XRF experiments, while the orange rectangle represents the interaction volume of the XRD experiments.

Figure 5.19 – Frequency distribution of  $\alpha$  brass phase Zn composition for Lahore and Indian astrolabe components as determined by XRD. All components less than 23 wt.% Zn were cast maters and alidades.

Figure 5.20 – Zn composition by XRD versus XRF for Lahore astrolabes. Deviation from 1:1 correlation line is seen by under predicted XRF (surface zinc loss) and under prediction of Zn wt.% by XRD above 33 wt.% in two phase  $\alpha + \beta'$  brass (indicated by blue band).

Figure 5.21 – Frequency distribution of  $\alpha$  brass phase Zn composition as determined by XRD for Iranian astrolabes.

Figure 5.22 - Zn composition by XRD versus XRF. Deviation from 1:1 correlation line is seen by under predicted XRF (surface zinc loss) and under prediction of Zn wt.% by XRD above 33 wt.% in two phase  $\alpha + \beta'$  brass (indicated by blue band).

Figure 5.23 – Instrument A-108 astrolabe quadrant.

Figure 5.24 – Frequency distribution of XRF Zn compositions for Belgian astrolabes. All of the historically accurate points fall in the range of traditional cementation brasses with the exception of the Linton Arsenius mater, which is under predicted due to the presence of a Au coating.

Figure 5.25 – Zn compositions as measured by XRF and XRD for the Belgian astrolabes.

Figure 5.26 – Frequency Distribution of Zn Composition for North African and Spanish Astrolabes.

Figure 5.27 – Comparison of Zn compositions by XRF and XRD for the North African and Spanish astrolabes illustrating a significant number of components with surface zinc loss.

Figure 5.28 – Frequency distribution of XRF Zn composition for the European astrolabes grouped by production location. Note the grouping of modern replacement components above 30 wt.%; the asterisk notes astrolabe M-26's alidade.

Figure 5.29 – Zn Composition measurements by XRD versus XRF for various European astrolabes.

Figure 5.30 – a) – Synchrotron radiography trace across the diameter of astrolabe N-69 tympan  $21^{\circ}/24^{\circ}$ . b) Synchrotron radiography trace across the diameter of an unengraved tympan from astrolabe N-69.

Figure 5.31 – The maximum deviation in thickness expressed as a percentage of the average thickness for all astrolabe tympan studied. The red region represents modern rolled tympan.

Figure 5.32 – a) Traditional radiography image of Linton Arsenius tympan. Red line represents synchrotron radiography trace location. Mottled contrast is indicative of a hand hammering process. b) synchrotron radiography trace illustrating engraving features and thickness variation from hand hammering.

Figure 5.33 – a) Internal casting cracks within the Linton Arsenius mater rim. b) Remnant gold coating on Linton Arsenius rete.

Figure 5.34 – a) The “1556” inscription on the mater throne of the Linton Arsenius. b) The date region surface topography scan by optical profilometry. c) A montage of the date numerals indicating a flat engraving tool and directionally displaced metal at the edges of the engraving.

Figure 5.35 – a) The word “MERID” stamped into one of the replacement rules of the Linton Arsenius. b) The “R” of part a, illustrating a “U” shaped stamping profile. Note also that the stamping is deeper on the left side of the “R,” indicating uneven striking force.

Figure 5.36 – Topography scan of the Lehigh tympan illustrating a deep “V” engraving profile.

Figure 5.37 – Height profile across numeral “1” engraving on Linton Arsenius illustrating flat bottomed profile and metal splayed to the left side of the engraving.

Figure 5.38 – Arrows indicate the engraving width: a) Height profile across two engraving lines on the Lehigh tympan seen in Figure 5.36. Note the “V” depth profile and the shoulder on the left side of the engraving. b) Height profile across another region of the engraving illustrating shoulder on left side of engraving.

Figure 5.39 – Raw diffraction images for a) A-87 pin, b) A-87 horse, and c) A-111 tympan. The bright regions oriented at specific angles in the Cu/brass rings (labeled 111, 200, and 220) indicate texture.

Figure 5.40A – ODF for A-87 pin illustrating very strong (11-12x random) brass rolling texture.

Figure 5.40B – Texture ODF plot for A-87 Horse. In addition to the brass rolling texture, the beginnings of the fcc recrystallized texture.

Figure 5.40C – Texture ODF for A-111 tympan illustrating the pure cube texture signifying that it is recrystallized rolled copper sheet.

Figure 5.41 – Grain orientation map of Lehigh tympan. Each color represents the crystallographic direction pointing out of the page as indicated by the color legend. Note presence of annealing twin and recrystallized structure with no organized texture.

Figure 5.42 – XRD diffraction pattern of the Lehigh tympan illustrating consistent intensity around diffraction rings characteristic of a randomly oriented microstructure.

Figure 5.43 – Reverse of Linton Arsenius rete illustrating guide marks for rete arm shapes and star pointer shape and location. A very faint “15” can be seen underneath the star pointer – most likely a numerical label to indicate the star name during manufacture.

Figure 5.44 – Fold out figure from 1524 A.D. (3<sup>rd</sup> edition) Stöffler text illustrating line construction for astrological house boundaries on astrolabe tympan.